



E-HRM: Going Paperless or Losing Patience

¹Dr. Deepika Singhvi
PhD Supervisor,
Faculty of Management,
Jodhpur National University,
Jodhpur, Rajasthan (India)

²Sourabh Krishna Joshi
PhD Scholar,
Faculty of Management,
Jodhpur National University,
Jodhpur, Rajasthan (India)

I. INTRODUCTION

The empirically founded models for E-HRM are scarce, as is research on E-HR in general. The models and approaches available are mostly practical E-HR models and focus on the implementation of the technical system that is supposed to support E-HR. In short, they are very much technology driven. We think this is a limited view and believe that the phenomenon of E-HRM deserves a closer and more fundamental examination and thus we start from the roots. E-HRM is a way of implementing HR strategies, policies, and practices in organizations through a conscious and directed support of and/or with the full use of web-technology-based channels. The word ‘implementing’ in this context has a broad meaning, such as making something work, putting something into practice, or having something realized. E-HRM, therefore, is a concept - a way of ‘doing’ HRM. Organizations do not start with nothing when they step out onto the E-HRM road. From start there will be certain implicit or explicit HRM policy assumptions and practices already in use. Further, every management decision contains some HRM component. Beer et al. speak about HRM policy choices. The set of HRM policy choices within an organization can be categorized into one of the three types distinguished by Beer et al.: the bureaucratic policy, the market policy, and the clan policy. From the existing state of the HRM in an organization (the frame of reference), the individuals and groups involved (the stakeholders) make choices with regard to E-HRM. As these are made within a certain context, the choices are purpose-driven.

II. E-HRM GOALS

What goals drive stakeholders when deciding about E-HRM? Based upon a scan of professionally-oriented and academic journals, we can draw conclusions about the reasons or goals of organizations making steps towards E-HRM. The four ‘pressures’ from Lepak and Snell (1998) are a good start, but we think that they can be reduced to three types of goals, namely:

1. Improving the strategic orientation of HRM
2. Cost reduction/efficiency gains
3. Client service improvement/facilitating management and employees.

III. TYPES OF E-HRM

E-HRM is not a specific stage in the development of HRM, but a choice for an approach to HRM. Wright and Dyer (2000) distinguish three areas of HRM where organizations can choose to ‘offer’ HR services face-to-face or through an electronic means: transactional HRM, traditional HRM, and transformational HRM. Lepak and Snell (1998) make a similar distinction, namely operational HRM, relational HRM and transformational HRM.

IV. E-HRM OUTCOMES

We assume, based upon Beer et al.’s ideas about the expected results or outcomes of HRM, that e-HRM also aim to achieve a certain set of outcomes. All HRM activities, and therefore also all e-HRM activities, will implicitly or explicitly be directed towards these ‘overall’ outcomes. Beer et al. (1984) distinguish four possibilities: high commitment, high competence, cost effectiveness, and higher congruence. These outcomes, in turn, may change the state of HRM in an organization, or through individuals and/or groups within an organization actually result in a new HRM state. With the addition of the e-HRM outcomes, the building blocks have been identified that are needed to finalize our e-HRM model.

V. CONSEQUENCES FOR THE HRM DEPARTMENT

The literature seems to clear: e-HRM will not leave HR departments ‘untouched’. Less administrative tasks for the HR department and therefore less administrative positions, more focus on the strategic goals of the organization and therefore an



HRM staff consisting mainly of ‘thinkers’; this is, in essence, what HR departments can expect or are already facing and experiencing.

From our definition of, and approach to, e-HRM the following can be concluded about the consequences of e-HRM for the HR department. E-HRM will assume an active role for line management and employees in implementing HRM strategies, policies, and practices. In terms of the more operational and information processing work, such as administration, registration and information distribution, there will be less demand for HR people. This seems most logical for organizations with an operational e-HRM approach. However, also with a relational e-HRM approach dominating, a smaller HR staff will be necessary if line management and employees. Pick up and use the HRM instruments provided by the HR intranet. There will still be HR experience necessary for the renewal of instruments and to prepare them for easy intranet-based use. Finally, with a more transformational e-HRM approach, strategic HRM expertise will be necessary in order to formulate adequate strategic HRM plans. The scarce empirical studies on this topic suggest that an investment in e-HRM seems to result in companies reducing the number of HRM employees. Based on the earlier arguments, it is likely that this concerns primarily the operational/administrative HRM workers. At the tactical and strategic levels, HRM staff will remain necessary, but will see a shift in their expertise from face-to-face skills towards intranet and internet activities. In other words, the web-dimension will be added to the toolkit of HRM professionals.

VI. THE RESEARCH STRATEGY

In study we have opted for qualitative research methods. Qualitative research can enhance the credibility of a study. Secondly, such a study’s results are often accepted as understandable, believable, and meaningful. Thirdly, qualitative research designs can enhance organizational practices since participants and other organizational members can readily see the implications of the obtained results. An outcome of this is that organizations and organizational members are likely to be more willing to cooperate.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

Based upon the research questions we can draw conclusions regarding the following three topics: (1) e-HRM goals in the companies, (2) types of e-HRM, and (3) e-HRM outcomes.

E-HRM goals

First of all, the three types of goals identified in our research model (efficiency/cost reduction; improving client service; improving HR’s strategic orientation) were all observed in the case studies. What seems to be new is that, especially in the international companies included in our study, a main goal for introducing e-HRM is the standardization and harmonization of HR policies and practices across all parts of the company. This was explained by the initiators in the companies as being necessary in order to strengthen the company’s image as a global entity, not just an internationally dispersed one.

Types of E-HRM

Secondly, we found that there is a ‘gap’ between e-HRM in a technical sense (the available functionality) and the real use made of web-based HRM tools by employees, line managements, and HR. That means that, technically, e-HRM can have the intention of having a transformational (highly advanced) nature, but in practice (real use of tools) it can be of an operational nature (basic personal data management and use as an information source).

What has shown up clearly is that a company with HRM policies and practices that are of the bureaucratic type cannot just jump to a transformational type of e-HRM: other stages have to be gone through first. The cases in this study clearly suggest that it is impossible to ‘jump’ immediately to a transformational type of e-HRM without first going through operational and relational e-HRM stages.

E-HRM outcomes

Thirdly, during the period of our investigation, the overall realized outcomes of e - HRM in the companies studied were primarily a reduction of costs, mainly due to reducing the administrative burden; an improvement in client satisfaction with HR services; and an improvement in the perceived quality of communication within the organization. Changes, let alone improvements, in the competences and commitment of the workforce were very limited. Alongside these main conclusions, we observed a number of additional aspects:

Firstly, e-HRM seems to be providing an important ‘push’: to put HR responsibility in the hands of the line manager. The introduction of e-HRM is accompanied by the decentralization of HR tasks and by the harmonization and standardization of HR processes.

For the HR department, introducing e-HRM shows itself to be a ‘push- factor’ for changing HRM within an organization: from a bureaucratic approach towards a market/clan approach.



REFERENCES

1. Berry, M., Linoff, G. Data Mining Techniques (For Marketing, Sales and Customer Support), John Wiley & Sons, 1997
2. Trichet, F., Leclere, M. A. Framework for Building Competency Based Systems dedicated to Human Resource Management, Paper submitted to International Conference, ISMIS'2003
3. BONDAROUK, T. V., Action-oriented group learning in the implementation of information technologies: results from three case studies. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 15, 42-53, 2006
4. BHATNAGAR, J., Looking from the Organizational Learning lens at technology enabled HR in Indian organizations. *International Journal of Human Resource Development and Management*, 7, 1, 37-52, 2007
5. BOLMAN, L. G. & DEAL, T. E., *Reframing Organizations: Artistry, Choice and Leadership*, Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, California, 1991
6. BRODERICK, R. & BOUDREAU, J. W., Human resource management, information technology and the competitive edge. *The Executive*, spring 6, 2, 7-17, 1992
7. BALL, K., The use of human resource information systems: a survey. *Personnel Review*, 30, 5/66, 677-693, 2011
8. COHEN, W. & LEVENTHAL, D. A., Absorptive capacity: A new perspective on learning and innovation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 35, 128-152, 1990
9. GINZBERG, M. J., Early diagnosis of MIS implementation failure: Promising results and unanswered questions. *Management Science*, 27, 4, 459-478, 1981.

